THE HONOURABLE SHRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 17511 OF 2007

Dated 20th September, 2007

Between:

N.Satyanarayana and another.

…Petitioners

And

State of A.P., (through the Secretary,
Department of Revenue – Land Acquisition)
Government of A.P., Secretariat, Hyderabad
and others.

…Respondents.

ORAL ORDER:

In this writ petition, the petitioner sought a declaration that the endorsement made in the Certificate of Market Value dated 3.5.2007 to the effect that as per Basic Register the Sy.No.227/1 is Government land is illegal.

The petitioner claims that he is the owner and possessor of the land to an extent of Ac.17.33 guntas covered by Survey No.227/1 situated at Bahadurpally village, Qutbullapur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. He has applied for a certificate of market value in respect of the said land to the fourth respondent-Sub-Registrar. But, however, the fourth respondent while issuing the said certificate stating the value as Rs.40,00,000/- per acre, also endorsed that as per the Basic Register, the Survey No.227/1 is government land. The grievance of the petitioner is that he is the pattadar and possessor of the said land and there is no basis for the Sub-Registrar for making such an endorsement.

Counter affidavit is filed by the Sub-Registrar stating that on the application made by the petitioner, the encumbrance certificate and the certificate of market value were issued and he has no role so far as the nature of the land is concerned.

In view of the stand taken by the fourth respondent, the endorsement made in the certificate of market value assumes no significance. In that view of the matter, I dispose of the writ petition with an observation that the endorsement made by the Sub-Registrar in the Certificate of Market Value with the regard to the nature of the land shall not be taken into consideration in any proceedings and the said certificate shall be construed as if there is no endorsement at all with regard to the nature of the land.

Subject to above clarification, the writ petition is disposed of.

No costs.

_____

20-9-2007 **R.SUBHASH REDDY, J.**

VR